


THE GLASS CEILING SYNDROME IN ORGANIZATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

Uyanga Galbadrakh

Master's Student

*School of Social Sciences and Humanities
Mongolian University of Science and Technology*

 0009-0001-3110-2080

DOI: 10.66753/

uyanganar0@gmail.com

Narangerel Amarjargal

Doctor (Ph. D.)

*School of Social Sciences and Humanities
Mongolian University of Science and Technology*

 0000-0002-1080-530X

DOI: 10.66753/

naraatushi@yahoo.com

Abstract: *The glass ceiling syndrome refers to invisible barriers that restrict the advancement of women and minority groups into leadership positions within organizations. This study examines the phenomenon from the perspective of industrial and organizational psychology by analyzing the influence of organizational culture, gender stereotypes, discrimination, and self-efficacy on career advancement. A mixed-methods approach was employed, including survey data collected from 120 employees and semi-structured interviews with 15 participants from public and private organizations. The findings indicate that discriminatory attitudes, male-dominated workplace environments, and reduced self-efficacy significantly contribute to the persistence of the glass ceiling phenomenon. Furthermore, international policy practices were reviewed to identify effective organizational and psychological interventions. The study concludes that addressing the glass ceiling requires not only structural reforms but also transformations in organizational culture, attitudes, and workplace psychology.*

Keywords: *Organizational culture, gender discrimination, self-efficacy, leadership, organizational psychology*

INTRODUCTION

The development of modern organizations is influenced not only by economic factors but also by the effective management of human resources and the psychological climate within the workplace. However, one of the less visible factors influencing organizational structure and culture is the glass ceiling syndrome. Unlike formal policies or explicit regulations, this phenomenon emerges from underlying

attitudes, belief systems, and entrenched stereotypes. As such, it represents a critical area of inquiry within the field of industrial and organizational psychology.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Glass Ceiling Syndrome

The glass ceiling syndrome refers to an invisible barrier that prevents employees from advancing to higher-level leadership positions [21], despite possessing adequate qualifications, skills, and educational background. This phenomenon is commonly associated with factors such as gender, age, social status, and cultural differences.

The term “glass ceiling” was first introduced by Marilyn Loden in 1978 during a speech at a women’s exposition in New York. The concept gained wider recognition following its publication in *The Wall Street Journal* in 1986, where it was used to describe the invisible barriers within organizational hierarchies that hinder women’s career advancement beyond a certain level.

In response to growing concerns about the barriers faced by women and minority groups, the United States Department of Labor established the Glass Ceiling Commission in 1991. The commission was tasked with identifying obstacles to advancement and recommending policies to enhance diversity in managerial and executive positions.

The glass ceiling syndrome is a challenge encountered by minority groups across various institutional contexts, including political, corporate, and educational organizations, particularly among individuals aspiring to attain high-level positions [4]. According to Cotter et al. (2001), the glass ceiling can be defined as an invisible and persistent barrier that restricts minority groups—especially women—from reaching leadership roles, regardless of their qualifications or achievements [7]. More broadly, it encompasses forms of discrimination based on ethnicity and race, as well as structural constraints faced by individuals in professional life [15].

Furthermore, the concept has evolved over time to include not only external barriers—such as organizational and societal constraints—but also internal barriers. These include self-limiting beliefs shaped by social stereotypes, such as perceptions of inadequacy or the prioritization of family responsibilities over professional advancement among women. Consequently, the glass ceiling is now understood as a multidimensional phenomenon involving both individual and systemic factors.

Causes of the Glass Ceiling Syndrome

Although women constitute approximately half of the global population, their participation in both public and private sector employment remains insufficient, particularly at decision-making levels. This disparity indicates that, despite the legal recognition of equal rights, such equality is not fully realized in practice [29].

From the point of entry into the workforce, female employees encounter various barriers in their pursuit of leadership positions [24]. These obstacles negatively affect women's professional development and contribute to their underrepresentation in managerial roles, thereby limiting the extent to which their voices are reflected in organizational decision-making processes.

Determinants and Contributing Factors of the Glass Ceiling Syndrome

The emergence and persistence of the glass ceiling syndrome can be understood through the interaction of individual, organizational, and societal factors.

Individual Factors: At the individual level, women often assume multiple social roles, including those of employee, mother, spouse, and caregiver. The necessity to balance these competing roles can constrain career advancement opportunities. In addition, personal preferences, values, and differences in coping and decision-making styles may influence how individuals respond to career-related challenges, thereby contributing to disparities in leadership attainment.

Organizational Factors: Organizational culture plays a critical role in shaping gender equality in the workplace [22]. In male-dominated environments, women are more likely to encounter structural and cultural barriers that hinder career progression. Leadership positions often require extended working hours and high levels of organizational commitment [20], which may conflict with work-life balance, disproportionately affecting women. Consequently, organizational norms and expectations may indirectly reinforce gender inequalities in promotion and leadership opportunities [22].

Societal Factors: Societal norms and gender-based socialization processes assign different roles and expectations to men and women [9]. Gender stereotypes not only limit women's participation in the workforce but also restrict the emergence of female role models in leadership positions. These stereotypes contribute to implicit barriers that shape both individual aspirations and institutional practices.

Stereotypes and Gender Norms

According to the Law on the Promotion of Gender Equality of Mongolia, "gender" refers to socially constructed roles, responsibilities, and positions attributed to men and women across political, economic, social, cultural, and familial contexts. In contrast, "sex" refers to biological differences between males and females, which are universal and relatively stable.

Gender stereotypes are simplified, generalized, and often biased beliefs regarding the characteristics and roles of men and women, disregarding individual differences and social change. Such stereotypes hinder the incorporation of diverse needs into policymaking, justify unequal treatment, and perpetuate systemic inequalities.

Cultural expectations regarding the division of labor within households further reinforce gender inequality. Women disproportionately bear the burden of unpaid domestic and caregiving responsibilities. For instance, women in Mongolia spend approximately 2.1 times more time on unpaid caregiving than men [1]. Similarly, while men spend an average of 117 minutes per day on unpaid domestic work, women spend approximately 281 minutes [2]. Moreover, a notable proportion of the population does not support women's participation in paid employment outside the home, reflecting persistent traditional attitudes.

Gender Discrimination

Gender discrimination, often conceptualized as sexism, is rooted in the belief that one gender is inherently superior to another. It manifests in prejudiced attitudes and discriminatory behaviors based on gender differences [8]. In organizational contexts, such discrimination is evident in unequal job assignments, promotion practices, and access to leadership opportunities.

Empirical studies indicate that workplace discrimination tends to advantage men while disadvantaging women [6, 16, 17, 23]. For example, Maume (1999) found that even in workplaces with higher female representation, men are more likely to be promoted to managerial positions, while women experience longer waiting periods for advancement [17].

Organizational Psychology Perspectives

From the perspective of industrial and organizational psychology, the glass ceiling syndrome can be explained through several psychological mechanisms:

- ✓ **Implicit Bias:** Decision-makers may unconsciously rely on biased assumptions [13], such as perceiving women as less capable leaders or younger employees as inexperienced.
- ✓ **Stereotypes:** Gender-role stereotypes (e.g., men as assertive leaders, women as nurturing followers) influence job assignments and promotion decisions [13].
- ✓ **Self-Efficacy:** Individuals working in environments characterized by glass ceiling effects may experience reduced self-confidence and underestimate their leadership potential [5].
- ✓ **Organizational Culture:** Male-dominated cultures and unfair evaluation systems tend to reinforce glass ceiling effects [22].

Implications and Interventions

International research demonstrates that women remain underrepresented in leadership positions, face unequal promotion opportunities despite comparable qualifications, and experience higher levels of psychological stress. Therefore, the glass ceiling is not merely a structural issue but also a psychological phenomenon.

In contexts such as Mongolia, where traditional gender norms remain influential [1,3], addressing the glass ceiling requires both psychological and organizational interventions. Key strategies include:

- ✓ **Training and Awareness:** Implementing programs to identify and reduce implicit biases and promote inclusive leadership.
- ✓ **Fair Evaluation Systems:** Establishing transparent, performance-based assessment criteria.
- ✓ **Leadership Development:** Creating mentorship and leadership programs specifically designed to support women.
- ✓ **Cultural Transformation:** Promoting organizational cultures that value equality, diversity, and inclusion.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND FINDINGS

This study employed a mixed-methods approach grounded in industrial and organizational psychology. The approach integrated both quantitative and qualitative methods to provide a comprehensive understanding of the glass ceiling phenomenon.

- ✓ **Research Design:** The study adopted an explanatory research design aimed at identifying relationships and causal influences among key variables.
- ✓ **Participants:** A total of 120 employees from both public and private sectors participated in the study. Participants ranged in age from 22 to 55 years, with 60% female and 40% male respondents.
- ✓ **Instruments and Measures**
 - **Survey (Likert Scale):** A five-point Likert scale was used (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree). The variables measured included attitudes, organizational culture, perceived promotion opportunities, and self-efficacy.
 - **Interviews (Qualitative):** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 participants. The interviews focused on perceived barriers to promotion, experiences of discrimination, and organizational challenges.
- ✓ **Data Analysis**

Quantitative data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics. Descriptive statistics (means) and correlation analyses were conducted to examine relationships among variables. Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and themes. The findings are summarized as follows:

1. The Impact of Attitudes

Table 1. Mean Scores of Attitudinal Factors

№	Attitudinal Statements	Mean
1.	Women face significant barriers in advancing to leadership positions	4.2
2.	Men are perceived as more suitable for leadership roles	3.8

These findings indicate that discriminatory attitudes persist within organizational settings, reinforcing gender-based inequalities.

2. Organizational Culture and Promotion Opportunities

A moderate positive correlation was found between organizational culture and promotion opportunities ($r = .62$). This suggests that transparent and equitable organizational cultures are associated with greater opportunities for advancement, whereas closed and hierarchical cultures tend to reinforce glass ceiling effects.

3. Self-Efficacy

Approximately 65% of female participants reported doubts about their suitability for leadership positions. This finding highlights that the glass ceiling is not solely an external structural barrier but also has significant psychological implications, particularly in shaping individuals' self-perceptions and confidence levels.

4. Interview Findings (Qualitative Analysis)

Common themes identified from the interviews include:

- “Men are preferred over me for leadership positions.”
- “Married women are perceived as less suitable for managerial roles.”
- “There are very few women in decision-making positions.”

These responses provide qualitative evidence of the influence of gender stereotypes, organizational culture, and psychological factors in sustaining the glass ceiling phenomenon.

DISCUSSION

Addressing the glass ceiling syndrome requires transforming psychological attitudes within organizational contexts, which aligns with the broader concept of “breaking the glass ceiling.” Breaking the glass ceiling refers not only to overcoming barriers to individual advancement but also to dismantling structural and cultural obstacles for others who face similar constraints.

The role of women and minority groups in challenging the glass ceiling is also critical in challenging and overcoming the glass ceiling. A prominent example is Hillary Clinton, who, during her 2008 and 2016 presidential campaigns, repeatedly emphasized her goal of breaking what she described as the “highest and hardest glass ceiling” as a potential first female president of the United States.

At the policy level, various countries have implemented strategies to reduce the effects of the glass ceiling. For instance:

1. Canada

In Canada, various initiatives have been implemented to support women's participation in political and professional domains. These include programs such as educational and leadership-oriented tours for female students, as well as financial

reimbursement schemes for expenses incurred by women candidates during election campaigns [12].

Such initiatives not only alleviate the financial burden associated with political participation but also facilitate the balance between personal responsibilities and professional aspirations. As a result, these policies contribute to increasing women's representation and reducing structural barriers to advancement [25].

2. *New Zealand*

In New Zealand, the Ministry for Women plays a central role in supporting emerging female leaders by facilitating skill development and promoting knowledge exchange [18].

Additionally, leadership capacity-building initiatives are supported by organizations such as Governance New Zealand, which provides professional training, methodological guidance, and development opportunities for public sector officials [11]. These efforts aim to strengthen governance and enhance women's participation in leadership roles.

3. *United States*

In the United States, various initiatives support gender equality and women's economic empowerment. One notable example is the Women Entrepreneurs Finance Initiative (We-Fi), which mobilizes private sector financing to expand access to credit and investment for women-led businesses [27].

Such programs aim to reduce financial barriers and enhance women's participation in entrepreneurship and leadership.

4. *United Kingdom*

In the United Kingdom, the *Work and Opportunities for Women (WOW)* program is a flagship initiative funded by the UK's international development efforts [10].

The program aims to enhance women's economic opportunities by integrating them into supply chains and broader economic development programs, while fostering collaboration with the private sector [14].

5. *Mongolia*

In Mongolia, progress has been observed in gender equality indicators. According to the 2022 Gender Equality Index, Mongolia ranked 70th out of 146 countries, improving by nine positions compared to previous years [28].

The index is based on four key dimensions: economic participation, education, health, and political empowerment. Mongolia ranks relatively high in health (1st) and economic participation (26th), while education ranks 63rd. However, political empowerment remains comparatively low (113th), indicating persistent challenges in women's representation in decision-making positions [26, 19].

CONCLUSION

The glass ceiling syndrome is not merely a structural phenomenon but one deeply rooted in psychological processes. The analysis of policy approaches across 5 countries demonstrates that the most common strategies for addressing the glass ceiling involve promoting women's employment and increasing their representation in leadership positions. Many countries have established dedicated funds, programs, and governmental bodies aimed at supporting women's development, while quota systems are frequently implemented to enhance women's participation in political decision-making.

Furthermore, several countries have adopted gender-neutral parental leave policies to promote equality in caregiving responsibilities. By encouraging men to take on a more active role in childcare, these policies enable women to pursue career advancement and leadership opportunities more effectively.

From the perspective of industrial and organizational psychology, it is evident that the glass ceiling cannot be fully addressed without transforming underlying attitudes, belief systems, and organizational culture. Therefore, organizations must prioritize improving the psychological climate and fostering inclusive attitudes to ensure equal opportunities. In summary:

- ✓ The glass ceiling syndrome can be conceptualized as an attitudinal phenomenon shaped by the interaction of organizational culture and self-efficacy.
- ✓ Organizational culture emerges as the most influential factor in sustaining or reducing glass ceiling effects.
- ✓ Psychological factors, particularly self-efficacy, play a critical role in shaping career advancement outcomes.

This study examined the glass ceiling syndrome through the lens of organizational psychology and based on empirical data, identified its tangible effects. The findings highlight that addressing this issue requires not only structural and policy-level changes but also transformations in psychological attitudes, stereotypes, organizational culture, and work environments. Future research is recommended to further explore these dynamics in greater depth.

REFERENCES

1. Гантуяа, А. (2020). Монгол Улсын хөдөлмөрийн зах зээлийн жендэрийн тэгш байдалд Ковид-19 цар тахлын үзүүлж буй нөлөө. Фридрих Эбэрттийн сан.
2. Монгол Улсын Үндэсний статистикийн хороо (2020). Хөдөлмөр эрхлэлт ба жендэрийн статистик тайлан.
3. Түмэндэлгэр, С. (2022). Шийдвэр гаргах түвшин дэх эмэгтэйчүүдийн оролцооны талаарх олон нийтийн төсөөлөл [Судалгааны тайлан]. Нийгмийн бодлого хөгжил судалгааны хүрээлэн.
4. Аусан, Z. (2004). Key success factors for women in management in Turkey. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 53(3), 453–477.
5. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman.
6. Cotter, D. A., Hermsen, J. M., & Vanneman, R. (2003). The effects of occupational gender segregation on earnings inequality. *Social Science Research*, 32(3), 419–442.

7. Cotter, D. A., Hermsen, J. M., Ovadia, S., & Vanneman, R. (2001). *The glass ceiling effect. Social Forces*, 80(2), 655–681.
8. Demirbilek, T. (2007). *Cinsiyet ayrımcılığı ve kadın istihdamı. Çalışma ve Toplum*, 2(13), 13–28.
9. Eyüboğlu, A. (1999). *Kadının toplumsal rolü ve çalışma hayatına katılımı. Türkiye İş Bankası Kültür Yayınları*.
10. Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office. (2023). *Work and Opportunities for Women (WOW) programme. UK Government. <https://www.gov.uk>*
11. Governance New Zealand. (2023). *Leadership development and governance training. <https://www.governancenz.org>*
12. Government of Canada. (2022). *Women in politics and leadership. Women and Gender Equality Canada. <https://women-gender-equality.canada.ca>*
13. Heilman, M. E. (2012). *Gender stereotypes and workplace bias. Research in Organizational Behavior*, 32, 113–135.
14. Kabeer, N. (2012). *Women's economic empowerment and inclusive growth: Labour markets and enterprise development. International Development Research Centre. <https://idl-bnc-idrc.dspacedirect.org>*
15. Kavuncu, B. (2009). *The glass ceiling syndrome: An application in organizations [Master's thesis, Istanbul University]*.
16. Kmec, J. A. (2005). *Setting occupational sex segregation in motion: Demand-side explanations of sex traditional employment. Work and Occupations*, 32(3), 322–354.
17. Maume, D. J. (1999). *Glass ceilings and glass escalators: Occupational segregation and race and sex differences in managerial promotions. Work and Occupations*, 26(4), 483–509.
18. Ministry for Women. (2023). *Leadership and governance. New Zealand Government. <https://women.govt.nz>*
19. National Statistics Office of Mongolia. (2022). *Gender statistics report. <https://1212.mn>*
20. Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and Practice (8th ed.)*. Sage Publications.
21. Öricü, E., Kılıç, R., & Kılıç, T. (2007). *Cam tavan sendromu ve kadınların üst düzey yönetici pozisyonuna yükselmelerindeki engeller: Balıkesir ili örneği. Yönetim ve Ekonomi Dergisi*, 14(2), 117–135.
22. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2017). *Organizational behavior (17th ed.)*. Pearson Education.
23. Roth, L. M. (2004). *Selling women short: A research note on gender differences in compensation on Wall Street. Social Forces*, 82(2), 783–802.
24. Serpil, Y. (2019). *Kadınların iş hayatında karşılaştıkları cam tavan sendromu üzerine nitel bir araştırma [Yüksek lisans tezi, İstanbul Sabahattin Zaim Üniversitesi]*.
25. Tolley, E. (2019). *Framed: Media and the coverage of race in Canadian politics. UBC Press*.
26. United Nations Development Programme. (2023). *Gender equality in Mongolia. <https://www.undp.org/mongolia>*
27. World Bank Group. (2021). *Women Entrepreneurs Finance Initiative (We-Fi). <https://www.we-fi.org>*
28. World Economic Forum. (2022). *Global gender gap report 2022. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-gender-gap-report-2022/>*
29. Yaprak, Z. (2009). *Kadınların çalışma hayatına katılımı ve karşılaştıkları sorunlar. Journal of Social Policy Studies*, 17(17), 63–80.